Table of contents

Table of Common Acronyms and Abbreviations ..... pag. 9

I. Introduction ..... » 11

1. Introduction, working hypothesis and scope of the analysis ..... » 11
1.1. Frame of reference: corporations' liability in the modern economy and due process for corporations ..... » 11
1.2. Grounds for comparison: the selection of the legal systems of Italy and the United States ..... » 17
1.3. Presenting the thesis: corporations' due process rights in criminal investigations should be recognized, but not necessarily in the same terms as the corresponding individuals' rights. ..... » 18
1.4. Stating out possible reasons for protecting the rights of corporations: external or teleological v. internal or ontological justifications ..... » 19
1.5. Sketching the scope of the present analysis: Fair trial principles and prosecutorial discretion with respect to corporations ..... » 22

2. The methods of the research ..... » 25
2.1. The methods in general: normative analysis and comparative law with the help of official statistics ..... » 25
2.2. The issues posed by the use of comparative law: its definition and its methods ... » 26
2.3. A choice for the present work: a functional point-by-point comparison between Italy and the United States ..... » 29

II. Laying the basis for a legal comparison through an overview of criminal procedure in Italy and in the U.S. ..... » 33

1. The systems of sources on criminal procedure: a contemporary practical convergence between a normative and a case law approach coming from different starting points ..... » 33
1.1. The interplay of legal formants: from the dichotomy "civil v. common law" to that "adversary v. non-adversary" ..... » 34
1.2. Internal relationships among levels of government: federalism v. regionalism . » 35
1.3. External relations with other sovereign States: international and European law 36
1.4. The shared foundational role of Constitutions ..... » 47
1.4.1. The constitutionalization of criminal procedure ..... » 52
1.5. Legislative sources and case law: moving beyond the stereotypical distinguishing "common law v. civil law" ..... » 65
1.6. Secondary regulations and authorities: an increasingly relevant subsidiary source of law ..... » 70

2. Dramatis personae*: sketching the subjects playing a part in a criminal trial* ..... » 72
2.1. Adjudication: judges as expert members of the judiciary and/or popular participation through the jury ..... » 72
2.2. Presenting the accuse: the prosecution office between politics and judiciary functions » 73
2.3. Carrying out criminal investigations: the police ..... » 74
2.4. Human beings under the axe of a pending criminal investigation: the accused » 76
2.5. The aftermaths of a crime: the victims ..... » 76
2.6. Assisting and defending clients: the lawyers ..... » 78

3. The phases of a criminal trial in a nutshell: investigation, bringing charges, preliminary hearing, trial, appeals. ..... » 78
3.1. Looking for clues and evidence of a crime: Preliminary investigations ..... » 80
3.1.1. Seizing the liberty of an individual or another right: Arrest and precautionary measures ..... » 84
3.1.2. Overseeing the validity of an arrest: First appearance bearing ..... » 85
3.2. Bringing charges against a suspect: Complaint and indictment v. "richiesta di rinvio a giudizio" ..... » 87
3.3. Oversight on the prosecutorial decision to bring charges: Preliminary or Grand Jury Hearing ..... » 88
3.3.1. The decision to proceed to trial or the dismissal of charges: Arraignment on Indicitment or Information v. "Rinvio a giudizio" ..... » 88
3.3.2. Preparing for trial after charges have been brought: Pretrial motions and "atti preliminari al dibattimento" ..... » 89
3.3.3. Waiving away rights to obtain a lesser sentence: Plea Bargaining and "riti alternativi" ..... » 90
3.4. Letting a criminal procedure "truth" emerge in the contrast between prosecution and defence: Trial v. "dibattimento" ..... » 92
3.4.1. The decision on guilt or innocence and that on the amount and type of punishment: similarities between sentencing and "determinazione della pena" ..... » 95
3.5. Challenging the judgment already issued: Appeals v. "impugnazioni" ..... » 98

4. Plea bargaining as the main deviation from the path of an ordinary trial ..... » 100
4.1. The pervasiveness of plea bargaining in the modern American criminal justice system ..... » 100
4.2. The costs of the American criminal justice system ..... » 104
4.3. A summary of American plea bargaining law ..... » 107
4.4. The introduction of the plea bargaining model in Italy and in other "civil law" legal systems (Germany, France) ..... » 113
4.5. Structural flaws in plea bargaining in the States and possible improvements inspired by civil law legal systems ..... » 125

5. Analogies and differences in practice: a similar model with an adversarial tendency and the residual role of trial in the ascertainment of a "trial truth" ..... » 136

III. Some notes in terms of corporate criminal liability: an outstanding kind of liability challenging interdisciplinary boundaries ... » 139

1. Corporations within society: the omnipresence of legal entities in modern societies ... » 140

2. Birth and history of corporate criminal liability: an all-American idea exported abroad to deal with corporate malfeasance and adapted to the Italian context ... » 147
2.1. Definitions of "white-collar" and "corporate" crimes: crimes committed in the context of business and, in particular, not by individuals but by corporations ... » 147
2.2. Corporate personhood: intrinsic differences between individuals and legal entities ... » 148
2.3. The rise of corporate criminal liability ... » 154

3. The requirements for corporate criminal liability: the objective commission of a crime by individuals and the subjective links to the corporation ... » 156
3.1. The objective element: predicate crimes committed by certain individuals producing some benefit for the corporation in both countries ... » 157
3.1.1. The predicate crimes: a generic liability in the United States v. a list of specific crimes in Italy ... » 157
3.1.2. The individuals liable for the corporation: employees and agents in the United States v. a subdivision of employees between top managers and other employees in Italy ... » 161
3.1.3. The element of the benefit to the corporation: a common approach, including crimes committed ex ante *in the interest of the corporation and crimes which* ex post *resulted in an advantage for the corporation* ... » 162
3.2. The link between the crime and the corporation: the egregious difference between a strict liability standard (vicarious liability) in the United States and an actual case-by-case determination of the mens rea (organizational fault) ... » 163

4. Sentencing and punishment: multifold approaches in both countries, from fines to debarment and probation, aiming to find the most appropriate punishment for each corporation with a view to rehabilitation ... » 166

IV. The timeline of a preliminary investigation against a corporation: Implementing safeguards meant for the needs of individuals to a legal abstraction ... » 173

1. The common choice of applicability of criminal procedure to organizations in both countries: a general approach in the United States v. the drafting of a set of corporate criminal procedure provisions, on top of ordinary provisions, in Italy ... » 173
1.1. The Italian 231 Decree approach: drafting a set of corporate criminal procedure provisions, on top of ordinary provisions ... » 174
1.2. The United States and its states' take on corporate criminal liability ... » 177
1.3. The selection of organizations that can be criminal defendants and the effects on defining liability ... » 180

2. Specific features of investigating corporations: exploring the grounds for an investigation, its start, its communication, its conclusion 182
2.1 When is a corporate criminal investigation needed? The relationship between the criminal justice system, the internal corporate compliance system and the administrative system of independent authorities 186
2.2. How does a criminal investigation start? The gathering of minimum evidence needed to open the investigative phase 190

3. Evidence issues: the challenges in the path to obtain proper proof of charges against a corporation 191
3.1. The obvious physical limitations: "no body to be kicked" 191
3.2. Legal constraints to police behavior during an investigation 191
3.3. Conducting Searches and Seizures 192
3.4. Interim or precautionary measures: addressing urgent needs during the fact-finding phase and the difficult coexistence with the corporations being presumed innocent until a factual assessment at trial 193

V. PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION IN THE CLOSING OF AN INVESTIGATION AGAINST A CORPORATION: AN UNBRIDLED POWER 195

1. Closing a criminal investigation: charges against the corporation, pleas and agreements or dismissal: Can prosecutors decide not to prosecute a corporation? 195

2. Procedural strategies for a diversion in the investigative phase: from prosecutorial general choices to actual negotiations 199
2.1. The ever-changing prosecution policies. A determination of whether and when corporations are charged 199

3. The relationship between the incrimination of corporations and of their employees: the global problem of attributing liability 200

4. Codes of conduct and compliance: the tendency to delegate self-regulation to the corporations themselves and the resulting risks of States overburdening private companies 201
4.1. The role of self-reporting: promises and potential pitfalls of stimulating corporations to detect crimes committed under its radar and notify public enforcement 203

5. Remedies to excessive discretion: judicial oversight of prosecutorial decisions on pleas and charges limited in both countries and the need for reforms 205

6. Trials to corporations in official statistics: a "vanishing trial" in the United States rooted in history v. a new trend of modest decrease of trials in Italy in the last twenty years 206

CONCLUSIONS 211

BIBLIOGRAPHY 215